



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8591	
09/816,317	03/26/2001	Nicola Fanto	2801-36		
7590 04/07/2004			EXAMINER		
Nixon & Vanderhye P.C. 8th Floor			BARTS, SAMUEL A		
1100 N. Glebe Rd.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Arlington, VA 22201			1621		
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
			5,317	FANTO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		Samue	I A Barts	1621				
D	The MAILING DATE of this commun	,		1	lress			
THE - Extended after - If the control of the contro	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 of period for reply is specified above, the maximum si ture to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 10) days, a reply within the satutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a rep statutory minimum of thirty d will expire SIX (6) MONTh application to become ABAI	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. 1S from the mailing date of this con	nmunication.			
	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL .	•						
3)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 8,9,11,12,14 and 15 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) 8,9,14 and 15 is/are allowe Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from o	consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the							
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pnation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date			Mail Date Mail Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Application/Control Number: 09/816,317

Art Unit: 1621

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 11-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3-5 of U.S. Patent No. 5,962,525, claims 6-8 of US Patent No. 6,225,501 and of claims 1-12 of US Patent No. 6,242,497.

An obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but an examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined claim is ether anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428,46 USPQ2d 1226 (fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985)

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they have overlapping claimed subject matter. Formula (I-II) of the instant claim is substantially similar to the genus of the patents. In particular,

Art Unit: 1621

when the instant claims define R_2 as hydrogen the claimed genus embraces the more limited genus of the patents.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 8, 9 and 14-15 allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A Barts whose telephone number is 703-308-4630. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johan Richter can be reached on 308-1235. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Samuel A Barts Primary Examiner Art Unit 1621

s.b.